

On Simultaneous Chebyshev Approximation and Chebyshev Approximation with an Additive Weight

J. B. DIAZ AND H. W. McLAUGHLIN

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York 12181

Communicated by Oved Shisha

Received October 8, 1970

DEDICATED TO PROFESSOR J. L. WALSH ON THE OCCASION OF HIS 75TH BIRTHDAY

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $F(x)$ and $f(x)$ be real valued functions, defined on $a \leq x \leq b$, where $a < b$ are real numbers. Let S denote a nonempty family of real valued functions defined on $[a, b]$. The problem with which we are concerned is that of simultaneously approximating F and f by elements of S . More exactly, we are concerned with the expression

$$\inf_{s \in S} \max \left\{ \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |F(x) - s(x)|, \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x) - s(x)| \right\}. \quad (1)$$

Problems involving the expression (1) are discussed in [1, and 2]. If there exists $s^* \in S$ such that the value of (1) actually equals

$$\max \left\{ \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |F(x) - s^*(x)|, \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x) - s^*(x)| \right\},$$

then we say that s^* is a best simultaneous approximation to f and F .

For convenience, we use, in what follows, the notation

$$\|g\| = \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |g(x)|,$$

for a real valued function $g(x)$ ($a \leq x \leq b$).

2. AN EXAMPLE

An interesting special case of the simultaneous approximation problem occurs when F and f are chosen to be continuous functions, and $S = P_n$, the polynomials (with real coefficients) of degree n or less (n a fixed positive

integer). One might expect, at first glance, that the element $q \in P_n$ which best approximates the arithmetic mean of F and f is also an element from P_n which best approximates F and f simultaneously, i.e., that, if $q \in P_n$ and

$$\| \frac{1}{2}(F + f) - q \| = \inf_{p \in P_n} \| \frac{1}{2}(F + f) - p \|,$$

then, also

$$\max\{\| F - q \|, \| f - q \|\} = \inf_{p \in P_n} \max\{\| F - p \|, \| f - p \|\}.$$

If this were actually the case, then the problem of the simultaneous approximation of the two functions F and f would simply be equivalent to the problem of the Chebyshev approximation of a single function, the arithmetic average $(F + f)/2$. However, in general, this is not true, as seen by choosing any F not in P_n , and then choosing $f \in P_n$ such that

$$0 < \| f - \bar{p} \| \leq \| F - \bar{p} \|,$$

where $\bar{p} \in P_n$, and \bar{p} is the unique element of P_n which best approximates F , that is

$$\| F - \bar{p} \| = \inf_{p \in P_n} \| F - p \|.$$

(The polynomial f could be chosen, for example, to be $\bar{p} + \| F - \bar{p} \|$). In this example, we have, on the one hand,

$$\left\| \frac{F - \bar{p}}{2} \right\| = \| \frac{1}{2}(F + f) - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{p} + f) \| = \inf_{p \in P_n} \| \frac{1}{2}(F + f) - p \|$$

(i.e., $\frac{1}{2}(\bar{p} + f)$ is the unique element of P_n which best approximates $\frac{1}{2}(F + f)$); and, on the other hand, by the way f was chosen,

$$\| F - \bar{p} \| = \max\{\| F - \bar{p} \|, \| f - \bar{p} \|\} = \inf_{p \in P_n} \max\{\| F - p \|, \| f - p \|\}$$

(i.e., \bar{p} is the unique element of P_n which best approximates F and f simultaneously). Clearly, $\bar{p} \neq \frac{1}{2}(\bar{p} + f)$, since $\| f - \bar{p} \| > 0$.

3. AN EQUIVALENCE

The following theorem shows, loosely speaking, that the problem of approximating F and f simultaneously is equivalent to what may be described as “the problem of approximating $\frac{1}{2}(F + f)$ with the additive weight function $\frac{1}{2} | F - f |$ ”.

THEOREM 1. For a and b real numbers ($a < b$), let $F(x)$ and $f(x)$ denote real valued functions, defined on the interval $a \leq x \leq b$. Let S denote a non-empty set of real valued functions defined on the interval $a \leq x \leq b$. Then,

$$\| | \frac{1}{2}(F + f) - s | + \frac{1}{2} | F - f | \| = \max\{\| F - s \|, \| f - s \|\}$$

for $s \in S$ (and hence

$$\inf_{s \in S} \| | \frac{1}{2}(F + f) - s | + \frac{1}{2} | F - f | \| = \inf_{s \in S} \max\{\| F - s \|, \| f - s \|\}.$$

Proof. The proof is based on the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. If m and n are real numbers, then

$$| \frac{1}{2}(m + n) | + \frac{1}{2} | m - n | = \max\{ | m |, | n | \}.$$

Proof. In the first place, the equation to be proved remains unaltered, either when m is replaced by $-m$, or when n is replaced by $-n$, or both at once; hence it may be assumed that both $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$. In the second place, the equation to be proved remains unaltered when m and n are interchanged; hence it may be assumed that $m \geq n$. Therefore, it may be assumed, without loss, that $m \geq n \geq 0$. But then the desired equality is obvious.

Returning to the proof of the theorem, we identify $F(x) - s(x)$ with m , and $f(x) - s(x)$ with n , in the lemma, to obtain

$$| \frac{1}{2}(F(x) + f(x)) - s(x) | + \frac{1}{2} | F(x) - f(x) | = \max\{ | F(x) - s(x) |, | f(x) - s(x) | \},$$

on $a \leq x \leq b$. Then, taking the supremum, on both sides of this last equation, over $a \leq x \leq b$, and noticing that

$$\sup_{a \leq x \leq b} \max\{ | F(x) - s(x) |, | f(x) - s(x) | \} = \max\{\| F - s \|, \| f - s \|\},$$

yields directly the desired conclusion of Theorem 1.

4. REFORMULATION OF THE EQUIVALENCE

Theorem 1 can be looked at from a different point of view. That is, the problem of approximating, with an additive non-negative weight, a given function, by elements of S , is equivalent to simultaneously approximating two appropriate functions F and f by elements of S . We state the result formally.

THEOREM 2. For a and b real numbers ($a < b$), let $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ denote

real valued functions on the interval $a \leq x \leq b$. Let S denote a nonempty set of real valued functions defined on the interval $a \leq x \leq b$. Then, for every $s \in S$ the following relation holds:

$$\| |h - s| + |g| \| = \max\{\|(h + g) - s\|, \|(h - g) - s\|\}$$

(and hence

$$\inf_{s \in S} \| |h - s| + |g| \| = \inf_{s \in S} \max\{\|(h + g) - s\|, \|(h - g) - s\|\}.$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 1, by identifying $h + g$ with F , and $h - g$ with f .

5. A QUESTION

The problem of the simultaneous approximation of complex valued functions has been considered in [3]. The following question arises naturally: Is an equivalence result, such as proved above for *real* valued functions, also valid for *complex* valued functions? If there is, the method of proof cannot be identical to that in the real case considered above, because the crucial Lemma 1 does not carry over to complex numbers, as can be readily seen by taking $m = 1 + i$ and $n = 1 - i$.

REFERENCES

1. C. B. DUNHAM, Simultaneous Chebyshev approximation of functions on an interval, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 472-477.
2. J. B. DIAZ AND H. W. McLAUGHLIN, Simultaneous approximation of a set of bounded real functions, *Math. Comp.* **23** (1969), 583-594.
3. J. B. DIAZ AND H. W. McLAUGHLIN, Simultaneous Chebychev approximation of a set of bounded complex-valued functions, *J. Approximation Theory* **2** (1969), 419-432.